MAIL CERTIFICATION

MAIL CERTIFICATION TECH CENTER 1600/2900

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being CENTER 1600/2900 deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on January 30,/2002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney's Docket No.: 101195-4

A. Chakrabarti Examiner

1655 Group Art Unit :

08/983,605 Serial No.

May 1, 1998 Filed

Marion Roder et al. Applicant(s)

Microsatellite Markers for Triticum Aestivum For

and Species of the Tribe Triticeae

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.144

Box PETITIONS Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is a petition requesting that an Examiner's final decision on a restriction be overturned in an official action dated August 2, 2001 in the above-referenced application.

RECEIVED

FEB 1 4 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Any necessary petition fees should be charged to Deposit Account no. 14-1263.

The Examiner made a restriction final in an official action dated August 2, 2001, stating that a search of 18 additional nucleotides would be an undue burden.

However, Applicants submit that the 10 primer pairs (20 nucleotide sequences) elected and acknowledged by the Examiner in paper no. 15 are not an undue burden, because the Examiner has not provided any rationale for not allowing an election of the 10 elected sequences, except for stating they are patentably distinct.

The Commissioner acknowledged that "sequences...normally constitute independent and distinct inventions". However, the Commissioner has accommodated the biotechnology industry without creating an undue burden on the USPTO by providing for a 'reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed'. See MPEP 804.04. This provision for a reasonable number of sequences amounts to a partial waiver of the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.141 et seq. As recited by the Examiner himself in paper no. 20, MPEP 803.04

defines 'reasonable number' as being 10 sequences to be examined.

Furthermore, paper no. 14 (Interview Summary) explicitly stated that "the examiner would be willing to examine 10 primer pairs (20 sequences) that Applicant elects."

Therefore, Applicants acknowledge the election of SEQ. ID. Nos 1-2. In addition, Applicants provisionally elect an additional 8 primer pairs, which comprise SEQ. ID. Nos 27, 28; 93, 94; 129, 130; 203, 204; 277, 278; 315, 316; 345, 346; 361, 362; 383, 384; 397 and 398.

Wherefore, applicants respectfully request that the Commissioner order the examiner to allow an election, and subsequent search and examination, of a total of 10 primer pairs to be chosen by the applicants.

Respectfully submittled,

Bruce S. Londa (33,531)

Attorney for Applicant

Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus P.A. 220 East 42nd Street, 30th Floor

New York, N.Y. 10017

Telephone: (212)808-0700 Telecopier: (212)808-0844